

Agenda item no. 6 - Questions from members of the council

Question Number	Questioner	Question	Question to
MQ 1	Councillor Terry James, Kington	Bearing in mind that the current administration fought the last election on a policy of abolishing the Cabinet system and replacing it with a Committee structure and having been fully aware of the time scales necessary to introduce such changes, could he give us what progress have been made in this matter.	Leader
<p>Response:</p> <p>We have had discussions with the council’s Monitoring Officer concerning the process for making a change. No change may be made without the approval of full Council. This seems correct to me – it would be wrong for a new administration to be able to make such a change without the support of full Council and following full consultation. There is a motion before Council today proposing a review of governance models to ensure that the pros and cons of all options are fully understood in order to inform a future decision of full Council. Some councils that have made a swift change have subsequently reverted to their former model and I hope that the motion makes clear that we would wish to take sufficient time to fully explore the issues, before determining the best model of governance to achieve our objectives of being open and enabling the engagement of all members in the decision making process. I hope that all members will join me both in supporting that motion, and contributing their views to any review that will follow if the motion is passed.</p> <p>That said, and pending the outcome of that work should the motion be passed, this administration is committed to increasing the engagement of all members of this council in the development of strategy and policy, and in our decision making. To this end we are developing further the relationship between the executive and scrutiny to encourage the involvement of all members in developing policy options for the future. Basic information about future decisions is already made available on the published forward plan and we will supplement that information through monthly briefings from the Cabinet to all members. All members of cabinet have been asked to consider the most appropriate way of consulting members about decisions they are taking. This will vary from decision to decision depending on the scope and likely impact of each decision. I would encourage all members to make the most of these opportunities, and I would welcome any other ideas members may have to improve engagement.</p>			
MQ 2	Councillor Bob Matthews, Credenhill	It is my understanding that you personally strongly believe in openness and transparency, but at recent Cabinet meetings Scrutiny Chairpersons and Group Leaders have been strongly discouraged from asking questions in respect of issues being debated and decisions of Cabinet.	Leader

		<p>It has always been the case that the Chairperson of ANY committee can use their discretion in allowing appropriate questioning to take place, and I am confident in saying that I believe the public would welcome this more democratic approach.</p> <p>I therefore ask that you assure members that in future you will allow up to two precise questions per agenda item at the meeting, from the above mentioned post- holders.</p> <p>Cllr Tony Johnson, when he was Leader, always allowed appropriate and reasonable questioning, and this approach was always greatly appreciated by members and the public generally.</p>	
<p>Response: As you will appreciate, being new to the meetings' procedures of Herefordshire Council, I must rely on the provisions of the constitution and advice from the Monitoring Officer.</p> <p>I note that the constitution (para 4.4.11) says that the following rules regarding attendance and speaking at Cabinet meetings will apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • where relevant to the agenda, the chairpersons of the scrutiny committees (or in their absence their vice chairpersons) have the right to attend cabinet meetings for the purpose of presenting any recommendations from their committee • group leaders have the right to attend cabinet meetings for the purpose of reporting the views of their group on any matter under consideration at the meeting. <p>No provision is made under these rules for questions to be asked, and a further provision (para 4.4.17) makes clear that the meetings of the cabinet are to be used as a means of taking final soundings from those attending on an issue for decision rather than be the forum for detailed questioning or scrutiny of decisions being taken.</p> <p>I cannot comment on Councillor Johnson's practice when he was Leader, but given Councillor Lester's objection to my having allowed a question from you at a meeting of Cabinet, I would assume that he managed Cabinet meetings in accordance with these provisions.</p> <p>In addition to the rights of attendance and speaking which are set out above, there is the option for any member to submit a question to Cabinet in the usual way, and the constitution provides for the views of political groups on key decisions to be captured at a point in time when they may effectively influence the decision. I very much welcome the views from political groups about matters being discussed at</p>			

Cabinet; this administration also wishes to go further in engaging members in our decision-making, as I have outlined in my response to the question from Cllr James.

MQ 3

Councillor William Wilding, Penyard

In light of the cabinet decision to commit to zero carbon by 2030 could you tell us if a 'climate champion' is to be appointed?

Leader

Response:

Thank you for making this suggestion which would certainly be one way of raising the profile of this important issue. Our current thinking is that all cabinet portfolio holders should consider this issue as part of their portfolio, and to make a special appointment would detract from this holistic approach.

MQ 4

Councillor Bernard Hunt, Newton Farm

GIVEN THAT - this council has a historically poor record of dealing with receipts of Planning Gain Section 106 monies AND THAT many agreements go back many years EG The Old Road ,Bromyard development [ten years or more] AND THAT a recent meeting of Mayors of all five market towns unanimously agreed that the current situation is unacceptable and deprives County residents of much needed local benefits - will the appropriate cabinet member undertake to investigate and circulate a report of the statistics involved, including recommendations of how to rectify this longstanding situation?

Cabinet member infrastructure and transport

Response:

I thank Cllr Hunt for his pertinent question and his continued interest in Bromyard in particular. For his reassurance, the council has processes in place to secure financial contributions from development sites for community infrastructure, to monitor those sites to ensure that monies are paid at the requisite point and to spend those monies in accordance with the terms of the section 106 agreement. The council is currently working with 31 parishes and wards, and numerous community groups and clubs to deliver projects for the benefit of communities.

The council's section 106 process has been subject to audit by the South West Audit Partnership in 2016/17. Although this audit report found the service was generally working well, it did make a number of recommendations for further improvement, all of which have been undertaken. In addition, the council's section 106 process is routinely challenged at monthly performance team meetings.

Since the appointment of the new administration, individual briefings have taken place with new members where the council is progressing with the spend of section 106 monies in their wards.

In addition, the council will be arranging for training for all members to assist them in understanding the process.

With regards to the section 106 monies for Old Road, Bromyard, referred to in the question these are committed in the Balfour Beatty Annual Plan at Annexe 4 for delivery of improvements in this location.

MQ 5	Councillor Christy Bolderson, Wormside	To support local authority Brexit preparations, a total of £77 million of funding has been made available by central government. I understand that no template has been provided to local councils to guide them in their preparations, however, key areas of focus should include (and not be limited to): all statutory and regulatory services which councils are responsible for; the impact on supply chains; data handling; Local Partnership Working; and communications. By outlining how the money has been used, can the responsible Cabinet member give assurance to the people of Herefordshire that this Council is fully prepared to exit the European Union?	Leader
------	--	---	--------

Response:
 Herefordshire Council is as best placed as it can be for exit from the EU, given the information tools that it has been provided with and the current degree of knowledge about a planned exit. We have received £105k from government. This money has not been used for any day-to-day work- it will be focused, as and when needed, to support the residents of Herefordshire. Herefordshire Council has provided on our website as much information as we have available for the residents of Herefordshire. We continue to update this information as more becomes available. Over the next few days, we will be including a 'frequently asked questions' section on the website giving as much straight forward information as possible. The website will continue to point residents to the gov.uk website where more detailed information is available.

Herefordshire Council (as part of the Local Resilience Forum) is taking part in weekly Chief Officer (strategic level officers) teleconferences. Officers are working on a day-to-day basis with partner agencies and with those critical council services (such as social care and regulatory services) where an impact could be felt. Services are currently reporting to the Emergency Planning Team every Thursday any impacts they are experiencing. To date, there has been nothing of significance reported. From Monday 21 October we will be required to report on a daily basis to MHCLG.

MQ 6	Councillor Nigel Shaw, Bromyard Bringsty	This autumn has seen a further reduction in public transport services between Hereford and Worcester on the 420 route which have impacted my ward, Bringsty /Bromyard. The 2019/20 budget included an additional amount of £500k as capital support to the county's community transport organisations, to help them to renew their fleets with new, modern and	Cabinet member infrastructure and transport
------	--	--	---

		energy efficient, fit for purpose vehicles. Six months on can the cabinet member advise how much of this investment has now been delivered to these vital bodies who help deliver a service to support the independence and well-being of our rural and market town communities?	
<p>Response: The 420 bus service is a service operated commercially by First Group between Worcester and Bromyard on weekdays. First Group recently notified us of their intention to withdraw the service as of 14th October. We are working with Worcestershire County Council to see how we can maintain the service in the short term and further work will be carried out by the two councils to determine the options for the service long term.</p> <p>Council authorised an amendment to the 2019/20 budget which allocated an additional £500k to passenger transport services, £225k of this was allocated to public bus services to offset a planned savings target in the year, and a further £275k was allocated to fund a grant scheme for Community Transport operators to purchase new vehicles. A decision to commit this funding has yet to be taken and will need to be considered in the context of the overall budget position for public transport to ensure we protect essential services.</p>			
MQ 7	Councillor Roger Phillips, Arrow	When was the new surfacing on B4349 at Dunan corner laid?	Cabinet member infrastructure and transport
<p>Response: The high friction surfacing scheme (anti-skid) at Dunan corner, also known as McIntyre's corner, was laid on the 23rd and the 24th of September 2019 in accordance with this year's Annual Plan. As part of the review of locations there were 3 sites identified as needing resurfacing with High Friction Surfacing (anti – skid), these are previously treated accident sites. The locations are; A4113 Brampton Bryan, B4349 Dunan Corner/McIntyre's Bend and A449/ B4224 Old Gore crossroads. The original treatment at Dunan Corner/McIntyre's Bend, which was implemented in 2002, following a number of collisions which included a fatality, has performed well with the original treatment lasting over 17 years.</p>			
MQ 8	Councillor John Hardwick, Backbury	Thank you for honouring the pledge made within some member's election manifestos in connection with the SWTP and HTP. Would Cllr Harrington care to provide the Council with an update on his decision and the next steps?	Cabinet member infrastructure and transport
<p>Response: I refer to the answer given to Mrs Protherough's question above.</p>			

